PETITION OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION FOR AN ORDER TO ENFORCE A CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND

26

27

28

Preamble

Petitioner, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") petitions this Court, pursuant to Sections 16 and 20 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 56, 57b-1, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1337 and 1345, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 81 (a)(5), for an order requiring respondent, Response Makers LLC ("Response Makers"), to produce responses to written interrogatories and to document requests, and a sworn verification as to these responses, in response to a Commission Civil Investigative Demand ("CID"), a type of administrative subpoena, issued to Response Makers on July 27, 2010. The CID was issued in the course of a non-public investigation concerning possible violations by Response Makers of Sections 604(f), 607(a), 607(e)(1), and/or 607(e)(2) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681b(f), 1681e(a), 1681e(e)(1), 1681e(e)(2), and of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), with respect to, *inter alia*, the sale and marketing of prescreened consumer report information.

Response Makers' absolute failure to comply with the CID is impeding the Commission's investigation. If, based on its investigation, the Commission has reason to believe that violations of the FTC Act or the FCRA have occurred, the Commission may seek monetary civil penalties and injunctive relief or other equitable relief under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 - 1681x, as well as injunctive or other equitable relief under the FTC Act, §§ 45(a), 53(b).

The Declaration under penalty of perjury of Katherine Armstrong, which verifies the allegations of this Petition, is attached hereto as Petition Exhibit (Pet. Exh.) 1.

Petition Allegations

In support of its Petition, the Commission alleges as follows:

- 1. The Commission is an administrative agency of the United States, organized and existing pursuant to the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 41 *et seq*. The Commission is authorized and directed by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), to prohibit unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce.
- 2. The Commission likewise is authorized and directed to enforce the FCRA which, in Section 621, provides that "a violation of any requirement or prohibition imposed under the [FCRA] shall constitute an unfair or deceptive act or practice ... in violation of section 5(a) of the FTC Act." 15 U.S.C. §1681s.
- 3. Section 3 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 43, empowers the Commission to prosecute any inquiry necessary to its duties in any part of the United States. Section 6 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 46, empowers the Commission to gather and compile information concerning, and to investigate from time to time, the organization, business, conduct, practices and management of, any person, partnership or corporation engaged in or whose business affects commerce, with certain exceptions not relevant here. Section 9 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 49, authorizes the Commission to issue subpoenas to compel the testimony of witnesses and the production of all such documentary evidence relating to any matter under investigation. Section 20 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b-1, empowers the Commission to require by CID the production of documents or other information relating to any Commission law enforcement investigation. See also 15 U.S.C. § 1681s(a)(1) (providing the Commission with the same investigatory authority for violations of the FCRA).
 - 4. This Court also has jurisdiction to enforce the Commission's duly issued CIDs, including

the CID issued to Respondent, under Section 20(e) of the FTC Act, which provides, in pertinent part:

Whenever any person fails to comply with any civil investigative demand duly served upon him under this section, or whenever satisfactory copying or reproduction of material requested pursuant to the demand cannot be accomplished and such person refuses to surrender such material, the Commission, through such officers or attorneys as it may designate, may file, in the district court of the United States for any judicial district in which such person resides, is found, or transacts business, and serve upon such person, a petition for an order of such court for the enforcement of this section.

15 U.S.C. § 57b-1(e).

- 5. Response Makers is a California limited liability company. Eric Rothchild is the President and Principal Owner. Response Makers is located at 11230 Sorrento Valley Road, Suite 100, San Diego California, 92121. Pet. Exh. 1, ¶ 4. Response Makers is engaged in, and its business affects, "commerce" as that term is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
- 6. On April 15, 1999, the Commission issued a "Resolution Directing Use of Compulsory Process in Nonpublic Investigation Into the Acts and Practices of Unnamed Persons, Partnerships and Corporations Engaged in Acts or Practices in Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, and/or 15 U.S.C. § 45. The resolution authorized all compulsory process available to the Commission to be used to investigate, among other things, "whether persons, partnerships or corporations may be engaging in, or have engaged in, acts or practices in violation of the FCRA, U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, and/or Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, ... relating to information furnished to consumer reporting agencies, maintained in the files of consumer reporting agencies, or obtained as a consumer report from a consumer reporting agency." Pet. Exh. 2.
- 7. On July 27, 2010, pursuant to the authority of the investigatory resolution, the Commission issued a CID seeking answers to interrogatories and documents concerning Response Makers' business practices involving the use of prescreened consumer reports. Pet. Exh. 2. The CID

was served on July 28, 2010, and directs Response Makers to submit the response materials by August 4, 2010. Pet. Exh. 3; Pet. Exh. 2; Pet. Exh. 1, ¶7.

- 8. Weeks earlier, an FTC investigator spoke with Response Makers' President Eric Rothchild about the Commission's investigation and the Commission's earlier efforts to serve a May 5, 2010 CID at a different address. In that conversation, Mr. Rothchild confirmed that Response Makers would accept service of the CID at the Sorrento Valley Road address. Following that initial contact, FTC staff again sent the CID, and made a number of unsuccessful attempts to contact Mr. Rothchild by telephone concerning the Commission's need for responsive materials. Pet. Exh. 1, ¶ 8.
- 9. On July 29, 2010, FTC staff left a message for Mr. Rothchild reminding him that the return date for the CID was August 4, 2010. On the same day, Mr. Rothchild left a message for Ms. Armstrong, advising that he had received the CID and stating that he would cooperate in the Commission's investigation. On August 4, 2010, after yet another unsuccessful attempt to reach Mr. Rothchild directly, an FTC staff attorney left a voicemail reminding Mr. Rothchild that the responses to the CID were due by close of business that same day, and that, failing a response, the Bureau of Consumer Protection would have to ask the Commission's Office of General Counsel to institute CID enforcement proceedings. Pet. Exh. 1, ¶ 9.
- 10. Despite repeated efforts, FTC staff has not been able to speak directly with Mr. Rothchild regarding the failure of Response Makers to respond to the CID. Furthermore, despite Mr. Rothchild's promise to cooperate in the investigation, the Commission has not received any of the information and documents requested by the CID. Pet. Exh. 1, ¶ 10.
- 11. Response Makers has not objected to the CID on any ground, and has not petitioned the Commission to quash or limit the CID, as provided in FTC Rule 2.7(d)(1), 16 C.F.R. § 2.7(d)(1). Pet. Exh. 1, ¶ 11.

12. The CID is within the Commission's authority, the information and documents sought are reasonably relevant to the Commission's investigation, and the CID does not impose an unreasonable burden on Response Makers. Further, Response Makers' failure to comply with the CID greatly impedes the Commission's ongoing investigation, forces the Commission to expend additional public resources, and makes it impossible to assess the legality of Response Makers' practices. It also prevents the Commission from completing its investigation in a timely manner. Pet. Exh. 1, ¶ 12.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, the Commission invokes the aid of this Court and prays:

- a. For the immediate issuance of an order directing Response Makers to show cause why it should not comply in full with the subpoena and CID;
- b. For a prompt determination of this matter and an order requiring Response Makers to fully comply with the CID within ten (10) days of such order;
 - c. For such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

1 Respectfully submitted, 2 3 WILLARD K. TOM 4 General Counsel 5 JOHN F. DALY Deputy General Counsel - Litigation 6 7 LESLIE RICE MELMAN Assistant General Counsel - Litigation 8 9 Ruthanne M. Deutsch 10 11 **RUTHANNE M. DEUTSCH** 12 Attorneys for Petitioner Federal Trade Commission 13 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580 14 Telephone: (202) 326-3677 15 Facsimile: (202) 326-2477 Email: rdeutsch@ftc.gov 16 17 LOCAL COUNSEL: **RAVEN M. NORRIS** Assistant U.S. Attorney 18 State of California Bar No. 232868 Office of the U.S. Attorney 19 880 Front Street, Room 6293 20 San Diego, CA 92101-8893 Telephone: (619) 557-7157 21 Facsimile: (619) 557-5004 email: Raven.Norris@usdoj.gov 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INS	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FO	RM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
Federal Trade Commission		Response Make	Response Makers, LLGO AUG 24 PH 12: 11		
(b) County of Residence (EX	of First Listed Plaintiff (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	, NOTE: IN LA	e of First-Listed Defendant OF a (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O AND CONDEMNATION CASES, US ID INVOLVED.	DNLY)	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number) Rutham Australia (Coc Pen 92161 (Col9)557-75Washin	nsy Ivania Ave.		BIM	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIŽENSHIP OF		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only Citizen of This State	PTF DEF I I Incorporated or Pr of Business In This	PTF DEF incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in It	Citizen of Another State em III)	2 Incorporated and F of Business In A	Another State	
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Person Med. M. □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 365 Person Med. M. □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 368 Asbest Injury Froduct Liability □ 340 Marine □ 270 Other Liability □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 370 Other PERSONAL □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Propert Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 385 Proper Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 510 Motion Sentence Accommodations □ 441 Voting □ 510 Motion Sentence Habeas Cooled General Product Liability □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 530 General Sign General Product Liability □ 444 Welfare □ 535 Death	dalpractice al Injury - t Liability close Personal Product y PROPERTY Fraud in Lending Personal y Damage t Liability Typamage t Liability PETITIONS In sto Vacate ce preparation of Property 21 USC 88 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other 1 LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relatior 730 Labor/Mgmt. Relatior 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 1 Penalty amus & Other Rights	PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
Ø1 Original □2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) temoved from	om Reinstated or and and Reopened (sp	ansferred from other district secify)		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause:	nich you are filing (Do not cite in risdicti 28 U.S.C. §§ 1337 and 1345 orce a Civil Investigative Demand			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ACTION DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	rif demanded in complaint: : ☐ Yes ☑ No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	·	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 08/24/2010	.SIGNATE	OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT APPLYI	ING IFP ILIDGE	MAG IU	DGE	